

United States Patent and Trademark Office

カル

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,972	05/24/2000	LUDGER DINKELBORG	SCH1737	7887
7590 01/13/2004			EXAMINER	
MILLEN WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN			LACYK, JOHN P	
ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I 2200 CLARENDON BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			3736 DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004 /3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/508,972	DINKELBORG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John P Lacyk	3736			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repo period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 C	October 2003.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)🛛	○ Claim(s) <u>1-17,22-25 and 27-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,16,17 and 30</u> is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4-15,22-25,27-29,31-34</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) \(\begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. A) The translation of the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic deference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is made of a	Its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 rest sentence of the specification of the covisional application has been retic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ved in this National Stage ved. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmer	nt(s)	_				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/508,972

Art Unit: 3736

ľ

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (a) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The specification appears to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and still fails to provide the proper headings and form as stated above and in the previous rejection.

Art Unit: 3736

Ì

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 16-17 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al.

Wang et al, as discussed previously, discloses a radioactive substance that is administered topically via a catheter to a site in the body for treatment. Wang et al clearly shows, column 5, lines 4-15 and claims 1, 6-7, that an adhesive is used to adhere the radioactive source to the vessel wall. While the reference doesn't specifically state that the catheter is removed, it is clear to one skilled in the art that the catheter is removed after the procedure leaving the radioactive substance adhered to the vessel wall.

- 3. Claims 4-15, 22-25, 27-29, 31-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3736

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P Lacyk whose telephone number is 703-308-2995.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0758.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0858.

> John P Lacvk Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3736